

IN THE
UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

RUSSELL ALLEN NORDYKE; et al.,
Plaintiffs - Appellants,

vs.

MARY V. KING; et al.,
Defendants - Appellees.

APPEAL FROM THE
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

**SUPPLEMENTAL REPLY BRIEF
OF APPELLANTS
RE: SECOND AMENDMENT ISSUES**

Donald E. J. Kilmer, Jr.
Law Offices of Donald Kilmer
1645 Willow Street, Suite 150
San Jose, California 95125
Vc: 408/264-8489
Fx: 408/264-8487
E-Mail: Don@DKLawOffice.com
Counsel for Appellants

Don B. Kates
Attorney at Law
22608 N.E. 269th Avenue
Battleground, Washington 98604
Vc: 360/666-2688
Fx: 360/666-3303
E-Mail: DonKates@earthlink.net
Co-Counsel for Appellants

IN THE
UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

RUSSELL ALLEN NORDYKE; et al.,
Plaintiffs - Appellants,

vs.

MARY V. KING; et al.,
Defendants - Appellees.

APPEAL FROM THE
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

**SUPPLEMENTAL REPLY BRIEF
OF APPELLANTS
RE: SECOND AMENDMENT ISSUES**

Donald E. J. Kilmer, Jr.
Law Offices of Donald Kilmer
1645 Willow Street, Suite 150
San Jose, California 95125
Vc: 408/264-8489
Fx: 408/264-8487
E-Mail: Don@DKLawOffice.com
Counsel for Appellants

Don B. Kates
Attorney at Law
22608 N.E. 269th Avenue
Battleground, Washington 98604
Vc: 360/666-2688
Fx: 360/666-3303
E-Mail: DonKates@earthlink.net
Co-Counsel for Appellants

CORPORATE DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

T S TRADE SHOWS is the business name used by RUSSELL and SALLIE NORDYKE to conduct business as gun show promoters throughout Northern and Central California. The business is wholly owned by the Nordykes.

VIRGIL McVICKER is president of the MADISON SOCIETY, a not-for-profit Nevada Corporation with its registered place of business in Carson City, Nevada. The Madison Society has chapters throughout California. The society is a membership organization whose purpose is preserving and protecting the legal and constitutional right to keep and bear arms for its members and all responsible law-abiding citizens. It is not a publicly traded corporation.

Dated: October 6, 2008



Donald E. J. Kilmer, Jr. [SBN: 179986]
LAW OFFICES OF DONALD KILMER
1645 Willow Street, Suite 150
San Jose, California 95125
Voice: (408) 264-8489
Fax: (408) 264-8487
E-Mail: Don@DKLawOffice.com

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Corporate Disclosure	
Table of Contents.....	i
Table of Authorities	iii
I. Introduction.....	1
II. The Second Amendment Should be Incorporated Against State Action Through the Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause.	4
A. There is No Legal Precedent Prohibiting..... This Court From Finding that the Second Amendment Applies to State Action through The Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause.	4
B. Dicta from Various Supreme Court Cases..... Confirm That the Second Amendment is to be Construed as if it has Already Been Incorporated.	5
C. Federalism is Not a Barrier to 14 th Amendment..... Due Process Incorporation of a Fundamental Right.	8
D. Appellees’ Historical Analysis is Flawed.....	10
E. Appellees’ Discussion of the English Right to..... Arms, the Writings of St. George Tucker, and State Constitutions are Seriously Misleading.	13
F. The Second Amendment is Properly Subject to Due Process Incorporation.	17
G. This Court Can Examine Legislative Purpose to..... Determine if a State/Local Law Infringes on a Fundamental Right.	19

III. The Ordinance Violates the Fundamental Right.....21
Protected by the Second Amendment.

A. The Ordinance Violates the22
Second Amendment Directly.

B. The Ordinance Violates the.....25
Equal Protection Clause of the
Fourteenth Amendment as Applied to a
Fundamental Right.

Conclusion.....27

Certificate of Compliance.....29

Certificate of Service.....30

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

FEDERAL CASES

<i>Albright v. Oliver</i> , 510 U.S. 266 (1994)	7
<i>Austin v. Mich. State Chamber of Commerce</i> , 494 U.S. 652 (1990)	20
<i>Cantwell v. Conn.</i> , 310 U.S. 296 (1940)	9
<i>Carey v. Population Servs. Intern'l</i> , 431 U.S. 678 (1977)	3, 24
<i>Cornelius v. NAACP Legal Def. & Educ. Fund</i> , 473 U.S. 788 (1985)	20
<i>District of Columbia v. Heller</i> , 554 U.S. ____ (2008)	1
<i>Heller</i> , 554 U.S. at ____	4
<i>District of Columbia v. Heller</i> , 128 S. Ct. at 2813 (2008)	1
<i>Heller</i> , 128 S. Ct. at 2818	7
<i>Heller</i> , 128 S. Ct. at 2802	17
<i>Heller</i> , 128 S. Ct. at 2803, 2809	17
<i>Heller</i> , 128 S. Ct. at 2817, 2818	22
<i>Duncan v. Louisiana</i> , 391 U.S. 145 (1968)	17
<i>Engblom v. Carey</i> , 677 F.2d 957 (1982)	9
<i>Everson v Bd. of Educ.</i> , 330 U.S. 1 (1947)	9
<i>Fresno Rifle & Pistol Club, Inc. v. Van De Kamp</i> , 965 F.2d 723 (9th Cir. 1992)	4
<i>Gitlow v. N.Y.</i> , 268 U.S. 652 (1925)	9

